

Training and Awareness

Kenneth R. van Wyk, Software Engineering Institute [vita³]

Copyright © 2005 Carnegie Mellon University

2005-09-26

This article provides guidance on training and awareness initiatives in the field of software security. It examines the state of the practice of commercial software security training and awareness offerings and makes recommendations for goals and curricula contents.

Overview

This document is intended to provide guidance on training and awareness initiatives in the field of software security. It examines the state of the practice of commercial software security training and awareness offerings and makes recommendations for goals and curricula contents.

An effective training program is vital to adopting new software development practices. And, because software security is such an emerging field, there are very few experienced developers that are familiar with the sorts of practices described here in the Build Security In (BSI) portal. As such, a clearly defined training and awareness campaign is particularly important for this effort.

A commonly heard gripe in the industry is that academic curricula do not adequately address software security issues. We take a brief look here at curricula from the top universities in the United States and compare them against the training offerings found in the commercial sector.

When possible, it is a good idea to guide training programs by a recognized common body of knowledge (CBK). Although no such CBK for software security appears to exist in the public space as of this writing, an effort is under way within the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to capture and document one. It should be available by October 2005.

State of the Practice—Commercial

To assess the state of commercial training offerings available today, we examined the publicly available documentation, syllabuses, etc. from numerous commercial organizations. We looked for training that emphasizes as much of the BSI concepts as possible, including the best practice activities, knowledge base topics, and available tools. We also looked for training that is largely process agnostic, as are the concepts laid out in BSI.

The good news is that there are indeed many offerings to choose from. They range in size and scope, and they cover a broad spectrum of aspects of software security. The biggest strength in the available courses is that most of them provide a good amount of detail on the technical nature of current problems and available solution sets.

That said, the bad news is that many of the available courses appear to suffer from various shortcomings, at least with regard to the approaches presented in BSI. What follows is a brief description of those shortcomings, along with recommendations on how to avoid or alleviate them.

- Security software vs. software security. According to their syllabuses, many of the software security training offerings spend a great deal of time describing security functionality (the use of encryption,

3. daisy:202 (van Wyk, Ken)

identification and authentication mechanisms, etc.). Although these security functions are vital ingredients of software security, they are far from all that ought to be covered.

- **Knowledge vs. practices.** Similarly, many of the training offerings focus on individual problems (e.g., buffer overflows) and point solutions to them. Avoiding these pitfalls is also vital to writing secure software, but much else needs to be covered for the training to be as effective as it needs to be.
- **Network and operating system focus.** Although not as common as the above two errors, some of the available training offerings appear to present a network and operating system centric point of view to the issues of developing secure software.

While all of the above elements are important to cover in a software security training program, what we feel is principally lacking in most of the course offerings is adequate coverage of security processes that are necessary to incorporate software security into the development processes and practices. These should be at least similar to those presented in the Best Practices area of BSI. Further, an emphasis should be placed on some high-value best practice activities such as abuse case analysis [insert cross-ref to description], architectural risk analysis [insert cross-ref to description], risk based testing [insert cross-ref to description], and code review [insert cross-ref to description] practices and tools [insert cross-ref to description].

State of the Practice—Academic

Within academia, many top universities are now offering optional senior-level undergraduate and graduate courses in software security. These courses tend to be broader in focus than their commercial counterparts, in that they include discussions on the sorts of best practice activities mentioned above in addition to discussions of common vulnerabilities.

There is certainly room for optimism in these findings, while at the same time there is perhaps even more room for improvement. For example, a strong argument for integrating discussions of secure design processes, avoiding buffer overflows, and similar topics into the more general computer science courses could easily be made; why not teach students to avoid `strcpy()` and the like in an Introduction to C course? Integrating software security into the entire curriculum is bound to be more effective than offering it as a senior-level elective course.

Best Practices in Training and Awareness

As stated above, we feel that a best practice software security training program today should encompass the various best practices, knowledge bases, and tools presented in BSI. Further, training and awareness initiatives should plan for—at a minimum—three target audiences: senior decision makers, engineering managers, and software developers. Each of the audiences should receive training that addresses its needs, naturally. The most fundamental goals and objectives for each audience follow.

- **Senior decision makers**
For a software security initiative to succeed in an organization, the organization's senior decision makers need to support the initiative with their buy-in. Therefore, an awareness training program should be presented to them that clearly articulates the need for software security and the difficulties faced in delivering secure software. The training should also succinctly describe the best practices necessary to accomplish those deliveries.
- **Engineering managers**
Likewise, engineering or software development managers (across all of the organizations and disciplines involved in the overall development process) also need to buy into a software security initiative. Additionally, however, they need to have a thorough understanding of the software

security practices that their organization will be incorporating into its development processes and specifically what their sub-organizations will need to do as a result. This is essential for managers to understand for purposes of project planning and execution. Thus, managers should be provided training content that describes the need for software security, as well as a thorough description and understanding of their organization's software security practices. The training should emphasize, where possible and feasible, the levels of effort for each software security activity involved, how to identify areas for improving existing software security practices (e.g., evaluate and improve), as well as methods for measuring a development organization's software security effectiveness. It may be beneficial to tailor the training content by audience somewhat—e.g., development managers are likely to have different specific needs and interests than test and quality assurance managers. In this case, the disciplines that each audience is directly responsible for should be emphasized and covered in more detail than the others.

- Software developers

Software developers should receive training that provides them with a conceptual foundation of software security, its importance to their organization, and the practices used within their organization. They should gain practical knowledge about the benefits of software security. Additionally, developers should receive technology-specific security training in each of the technologies that are involved in designing, coding, and testing software in the technologies that they work with. In the same manner that the training for the management may be tailored by the audience's disciplines, the content for software developers should emphasize the aspects of software development that they work on directly.

With these goals and objectives in mind, the following outlines are presented as guidelines for developing organizational curricula for software security training.

Training Outlines by Audience

For each of the three audiences, it is particularly useful to clearly address the rationale for each security activity. Where feasible, consider demonstrating the activity through exercises, examples, and in-depth anecdotes from case studies.

Software security awareness training for *senior decision makers* should look similar to the following:

1. Introduction to software security problems

This training module should present an overview of the security problems faced today by software developers. Its aim should be to convince the audience why traditional and largely separate approaches to information security and software development are flawed from a software security perspective. Further, it should present an accurate business case that weighs the often conflicting goals of development and security.

1. Shortcomings of traditional perimeter-based network security solutions
2. Common software weaknesses
3. Balancing the different goals of security and software development

2. Software security activities to integrate into the SDLC

This module should provide a basic conceptual overview of software security activities and their impact on the SDLC for the senior decision maker audience. It should principally focus on describing the activities and their associated costs—monetary and schedule.

1. Requirements and specifications activities
2. Design time activities
3. Implementation activities

4. Test planning and testing
5. Deployment, operations, and maintenance issues

Software security awareness training for *engineering management*, as stated, should be substantially similar to that provided to the senior decision makers, but with a somewhat different core message. Specifically, instead of solely aiming to convince the audience of the merits of software security, it should also ensure that the managers have the necessary knowledge to implement and measure an appropriate set of software security practices. Their training outline should look similar to the following:

1. Introduction to software security problems

This training module should delve into the security problems faced today by software developers. Its aim should be to convince this management audience why traditional and largely separate approaches to information security and software development are flawed. Further, it should present an accurate business case that weighs the often conflicting goals of development and security. For the engineering management audience, particular attention should be paid to cost vs. benefit information, as they're often the people within an organization that are the most skeptical about the benefits of adding more activities to the SDLC process.

1. Shortcomings of traditional perimeter-based network security solutions
2. Common software weaknesses
3. Balancing the different goals of security and software development

2. Software security activities to integrate into the SDLC

This module should be the core of the training content provided to the engineering management audience. For the managers, particular focus should be given to describing the processes involved and how to implement them, as well as methods of measuring their teams' progress and successes. Additionally, realistic program management information should be provided, such as scheduling issues and typical level of effort required for each activity.

1. Requirements and specifications activities
2. Design time activities
3. Implementation activities
4. Test planning and testing
5. Deployment, operations, and maintenance issues

Many of the same topics covered in the above two training curricula should also be covered for the *software developer* audience; however, the focus here should shift dramatically from the conceptual to the technical. A conceptual foundation must be presented, but the developers will need specific technical information in order for them to do their expected software security tasks. Additionally, where feasible and possible, hands-on exercises should be incorporated into the training so that the developers can experiment with putting into practice the processes described in the training material.

1. Introduction to software security problems

This training module should delve into the security problems faced today by software developers. Its aim, quite simply, should be to convince the students that they should care about software security in their work. (Realistic case studies can be highly beneficial here.)

1. Shortcomings of traditional perimeter-based network security solutions
2. Common software weaknesses

3. Balancing the different goals of security and software development

2. Software security activities to integrate into the SDLC

This module should present the same basic concepts that were presented to the engineering managers, but the principal focus should be on actionable recommendations for the developers. The students should come away with a clear understanding of where they fit into the software security program within their organization, what is expected of them, and how they need to implement software security. Specific guidance and recommendations should be provided for each of these processes that will help the student "internalize" the correct behaviors as they apply to software security activities.

1. Requirements and specifications activities
2. Design time activities
3. Implementation activities
4. Test planning and testing
5. Deployment, operations, and maintenance issues

3. Know the enemy

To build software that can withstand attacks, it is essential to understand the nature of the anticipated attacks and the concepts behind them, and in considerable technical detail. This module should teach developers about their adversaries. The students should understand who wants to attack their software, why, and how they are likely to go about doing it. Common concepts such as buffer overflows, SQL injection, cross-site scripting, and so on should be thoroughly described and, as appropriate, demonstrated to the students so that they can translate the conceptual issues into their own real worlds.

1. Threat analysis – who are the attackers and what motivates them
2. Common software vulnerabilities explained in detail – architectural flaws as well as implementation bugs
3. Attack tools and methodologies

4. Knowledge base and tools

Whereas the previous module stresses the best practices that developers are to follow, this module should arm the students with the necessary knowledge base and understanding of the tools necessary to their jobs. The actual content delivered here to each student may need to be further refined to the exact discipline of the student audience. For example, software designers need to focus on the architectural risk analysis processes and specific methodologies, whereas coders need to focus on code review methods and tools.

1. Risk analysis techniques (e.g., STRIDE, SQM, CLASP)
2. Language-specific tips, pitfalls to avoid, rules, and guidelines
3. Tools for code analysis, testing, etc.

Of course, the above outlines are quite simplistic and generic views of the topics to be covered. Actual content should take into consideration the software security processes that are being adopted in the host organization. In the case of general training programs for non-specific audiences, the available processes, tools, etc. should be surveyed and compared for their strengths and weaknesses.

Once a firm conceptual foundation has been laid for the students, a library or repository of up-to-date

reference information should be made readily available to them. This should include external sources of information such as books and published papers, as well as internal sources such as (security vetted) design architectures, design documents, and source libraries.

Business Case

As the practice of software security catches on and grows throughout the software development community, training and awareness initiatives are vital to adoption among developers and managers alike. This is particularly the case as few (if any) professional software developers today have undergone anything more than rudimentary on-the-job exposure to software security issues, much less anything in the form of academic instruction.

For software security best practices to be successfully adopted in industry, there must be senior-level buy-in. This can be accomplished in a number of ways, including a clear and concise awareness training program that presents senior decision makers with the issues and tradeoffs involved in delivering secure software.

Further, mid-level engineering management needs to be aware not just of the issues associated with delivering secure software but with the software security best practices that they should be incorporating into their groups' development processes and methodologies.

Lastly, software developers themselves, from architects and designers through coders and testers, need to be thoroughly trained in all of the above, plus all of the technology specifics involved in designing, coding, and testing software in the technologies that they work with.

Without these things, it is highly unlikely that software security initiatives can succeed in a substantial way. Trying to accomplish a software security agenda from a "grass roots" or "bottom up" perspective is not likely to accomplish more than superficial change.

Glossary

incident

Any real or suspected adverse event in relation to the security of computer systems or computer networks.

References

Commercial training examples:

[@stake 05]

@stake, Inc. *Application Security Principles*. <http://www.atstake.com/services/education/courses/application.h> (2005).

[Aspect 05]

Aspect Security, Inc. *Developing Secure Web Applications*. <http://www.aspectsecurity.com/train.html> (2005).

[Foundstone 05]

Foundstone, Inc. *Building Secure Software* (and other courses). <http://www.foundstone.com>⁹³, (2005).

93. <http://www.foundstone.com/>

[KRvW 05]	KRvW Associates, LLC. <i>Secure Coding Tutorial</i> . http://www.krvw.com/courses.php (2005).
[LogiGear 05]	LogiGear, Inc. <i>Web and Software Application Security Testing</i> . http://www.logigear.com/training/course_catalog/course.asp?cou (2005).
[Microsoft 05]	Microsoft, Inc. <i>Essentials of Application Security</i> (and other courses). http://msevents.microsoft.com/CUI/WebCastEventDetails.aspx?EventID=1032269899&EventCategory=5&culture=en-US&Cou (2005).
[Netcraft 04]	Netcraft, Inc. <i>Designing and Developing Internet Applications Defensively</i> . http://news.netcraft.com/archives/2004/08/17/netcraft_web_application_security_training_course.html ⁹⁷ (2004).
[NGS 05]	Next Generation Security Software, Ltd. <i>Secure Web Applications Design</i> (and other courses). http://www.ngssoftware.com/train.htm (2005).
[Paladion 05]	Paladion Networks Pvt. Ltd. <i>Application Security Training</i> (numerous courses). http://www.paladion.net/services/application_security_training.h (2005).
[SANS 05]	The SANS Institute, Inc. <i>Secure Software Webcast Series</i> (and other courses). https://www.sans.org/webcasts/show.php?webcastid=90570 (2005).
[Seige 05]	Siegeworks, Inc. <i>Secure Coding</i> (and other courses). http://www.siegeworks.com/Education.html (2005).
[SI 05]	Security Innovation, Inc. <i>How to Break Software Security</i> . http://www.sisecure.com/services/training/softwaresecurity.shtm (2005).

Academic curricula sampling:

[CMU 05]	Carnegie Mellon University. CS curriculum. http://www.csd.cs.cmu.edu/education/bscs/index.html#curriculum (2005).
[Davis 05]	University of California at Davis. CS curriculum. http://www.cs.ucdavis.edu/courses/exp_course_desc/index.html

96. <http://msevents.microsoft.com/CUI/WebCastEventDetails.aspx?EventID=1032269899&EventCategory=5&culture=en-US&CountryCode=>

97. http://news.netcraft.com/archives/2004/08/17/netcraft_web_application_security_training_course.html

	(2005).
[GWU 05]	George Washington University. CS curriculum. http://www.cs.gwu.edu/news_events/program_news/newcourses (2005).
[MIT 05]	Massachusetts Institute of Technology. EECS Undergraduate Program. http://www.eecs.mit.edu/ug/index.html (2005).
[Stanford 05]	Stanford University. CS curriculum. http://cs.stanford.edu/Courses/ (2005).
[Virginia 05]	University of Virginia. CS curriculum. http://www.cs.virginia.edu/classes/index.php (2005).
[VT 05]	Virginia Tech. CS curriculum. http://www.cs.vt.edu/site_pages/courses/ (2005).

SEI Copyright

Carnegie Mellon University SEI-authored documents are sponsored by the U.S. Department of Defense under Contract FA8721-05-C-0003. Carnegie Mellon University retains copyrights in all material produced under this contract. The U.S. Government retains a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce these documents, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes only pursuant to the copyright license under the contract clause at 252.227-7013.

Permission to reproduce this document and to prepare derivative works from this document for internal use is granted, provided the copyright and “No Warranty” statements are included with all reproductions and derivative works.

For inquiries regarding reproducing this document or preparing derivative works of this document for external and commercial use, including information about “Fair Use,” see the [Permissions](#)¹ page on the SEI web site. If you do not find the copyright information you need on this web site, please consult your legal counsel for advice.

Felder

Name	Wert
Copyright Holder	SEI

Felder

Name	Wert
is-content-area-overview	true
Content Areas	Best Practices/Training & Awareness

1. <http://www.sei.cmu.edu/about/legal-permissions.html>

Workflow State	Publishable
----------------	-------------